



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/888,055	06/21/2001	Sankar Jayaram	WSUR114741	9212	
26389	7590 01/28/2003				
CHRISTENSEN, O'CONNOR, JOHNSON, KINDNESS, PLLC			EXAMINER		
1420 FIFTH A SUITE 2800	1420 FIFTH AVENUE SUITE 2800			ORTIZ RODRIGUEZ, CARLOS R	
SEATTLE, W	VA 98101-2347				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2125		
			DATE MAILED: 01/28/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			18		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	W		
	09/888,055	JAYARAM ET AL.	•		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	· · · · · · ·		
	Carlos Ortiz-Rodriguez	2125			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addr	ess		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI te, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this comr BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30	July 2002 .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ T	his action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims			merits is		
4) Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the applicatio	n.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in real 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	• •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	Adminier.				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	an priority under 35 H S C	& 110(a) (d) or (f)			
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	gir priority under 35 0.5.C.	3 119(a)-(u) of (i).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ate have been received				
Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document		Application No.			
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents.			200		
application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		aye ·		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pr 15)☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes 					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Informal Patent Application (PTO-			

Art Unit: 2125

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claim 1,3-5,6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kato et al U.S. Patent No. 5,999,185.

With respect to claim 1 and 6-8 Kato et al discloses a method for providing a virtual environment for simulating the arrangement of a plurality of parts into an assembly, comprising: creating a model in a design environment for each part, each model having a geometry that corresponds to a part (object), (see col.2, lines 65-66 and col.3, line 1); translating each model into a virtual part in the virtual environment (virtual space), (see col. 1, lines 24-25), the design environment being integrated with the virtual environment (see col.1, lines 29-31); and enabling each virtual part to be positioned in an assembly within the virtual environment, wherein the positioning of each virtual part enables a constrained motion simulation to be performed for the arrangement of the plurality of parts into the assembly, wherein the constrained motion simulation limits the simulated motion of at least one virtual part to an allowed direction. Also translating a constraint information set of the plurality of parts from a parametric computer aided system to the virtual environment. Wherein the constraint information set comprises multiple

Application/Control Number: 09/888,055 Page 3

Art Unit: 2125

constraint values and the constraint information set is used to define kinematic motions of the virtual parts (see col.1, lines 26-29 also see col. 18 lines 58-62).

Regarding claims 3-5 and 9, Kato additionally discloses the method comprising: receiving a user controlled command by a virtual reality peripheral device (data glove) for arranging of the plurality of parts into the assembly (see col.1, lines 46-50) wherein the virtual environment is formed by a generation of three-dimensional views (see col.1, lines 24-27).

The method further comprising, providing a menu display in the virtual environment, the menu display configured to receive commands from a user by the use of a virtual reality peripheral device (see col.15, lines 5-8) and translating a geometry information set of the plurality of parts from a parametric computer aided system to the virtual environment (see col. 21, lines 31-34).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2,19,20,22-24 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kato et al U.S. Patent No. 5,999,185 in view of Lection et al U.S. Patent No. 6,091,410.

Art Unit: 2125

With respect to claim 2, Kato et al discloses the method of base claims 1 as outlined above and regarding claims 19,23,24 and 27 Kato et al additionally discloses a virtual assembly design environment system communicatively connected the parametric computer aided drawing system; program code for modeling interactivity between a hand and a part and for simulating the arrangement of a plurality of parts into an assembly in a virtual environment (see Fig 3 element 18), which when executed, perform the steps of: creating a model in a design environment for each part, each model having a geometry that corresponds to a part(object) (see col.2, lines 65-66 and col.3, lines 1); translating each model into a virtual part in the virtual environment(virtual space) (see col. 1, lines 24-25), the design environment being integrated with the virtual environment(see col. 1, lines 29-31); and enabling each virtual part to be positioned in the virtual environment, the positioning of each virtual part enables a simulation to be performed for the arranging of the plurality of parts into the assembly(see col. 1, lines 26-29 also see col. 18, lines 58-62). Kato also discloses a method for modeling interactivity between a glove and a part, comprising: simulating a virtual glove grabbing a virtual part, where the simulated movements of the virtual glove correspond to movements sensed by the glove with respect to the part (see col. 1, lines 17-21). The program code further performs the step of providing a menu display in the virtual environment, the menu display configured to receive commands from a user (see col.15, lines 5-8).

With the information disclosed by Kato and due to the need of modeling the interactivity of the virtual glove and the virtual part one of ordinary skill in the art would determine a transform that takes into consideration the movement of the glove such as the twirl transform.

Art Unit: 2125

With respect to claim 2,19,20,22 and 27 Kato does not disclose modification of simulations enabling another simulation to be performed. Kato also does not teach a system having an avatar.

However reference Lection et al discloses, a method comprising: enabling the simulation to be modified, a modification enabling another simulation to be performed, and when the modification causes a change in the virtual part, causing the corresponding model to automatically include the change to the virtual part (see col. 5, lines 4-8).

Lection also discloses a system for providing a virtual environment, the system comprising: a parametric computer aided drawing system having an avatar communicatively connected the parametric computer aided drawing system (see col. 4, lines 18-20). The avatar includes one or more virtual reality peripheral devices for generating electronic signals that dictate the movement of a user (see col. 1, lines 47-51).

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify Kato's invention to include an avatar that corresponds to the user.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this modification because it is common to associate the user with a character object in the virtual world as suggested by Lection et al.

Art Unit: 2125

5. Claim 10-18,32,34-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kato et al U.S. Patent No. 5,999,185 in view of Robertson et al. U.S. Patent No. 5,513,303.

Regarding claims 10-18 Kato et al discloses a method for processing constraint information set for limiting the motion of a part associated with the constraint information set, comprising: comparing the part constraint information set with a predetermined constraint information set; and limiting the motion of the part to only move about an axis, if the predetermined constraint information set dictates a limitation about an axis coordinate(see col. 14, lines 26-37).

Regarding claims 32,34-36 Kato discloses a method for providing a virtual environment for simulating the arrangement of a plurality of parts into an assembly, comprising: creating a model in a design environment for each part, each model having a geometry that corresponds to a part (object) (see col. 2, lines 65-66 and col. 3, line 1); translating each model into a virtual part in the virtual environment, the design environment being integrated with the virtual environment (virtual space)(see col. 1, lines 24-25); enabling each virtual part to be positioned in an assembly within the virtual environment, wherein the positioning of each virtual part enables a simulation to be performed for the arrangement of the plurality of parts into the assembly, wherein tile simulation processes constraint information for limiting the motion of at least one virtual part associated with the constraint information (see col. 1, lines 26-29 also see col. 18, lines 58-62); limiting the motion of the virtual part associated with the constraint information to only move in a direction defined in the predetermined constraint information(col. 14, lines 26-37). Wherein the virtual environment is formed by a generation of three-dimensional views (see col.1, lines 24-27). Further comprising, providing a menu display in the virtual environment, the menu

display configured to receive commands from a user by the use of a virtual reality peripheral device (see col.15, lines 5-8).

Regarding claims 10-18 and 32 Kato reference does not clearly disclose comparing the constraint information with a predetermined constraint information set, and also does not disclose constraint information sets.

However Robertson discloses a method for processing a constraint information set for limiting the motion of a part associated with the constraint information set, comprising (see Fig.8 element 202): comparing the constraint information set with a predetermined constraint information set; and limiting the motion of the part to only move about an axis, if the predetermined constraint information set dictates a limitation about an axis coordinate. Also a method for processing a constraint information set for limiting the motion of a part associated with the constraint information set, comprising: comparing the constraint information set with a predetermined constraint information set; and limiting the motion of the part to only move about a plane, if the predetermined constraint information set dictates a limitation about a plane (see Fig 8).

Robertson additionally discloses a method for processing multiple constraint information sets for simulating the moment of a first and second part in a computer simulated virtual environment, wherein a first constraint information set is associated with the first part, and wherein a second constraint information set is associated with the second part, the method comprising: determining the presence of a predetermined type of movement between the first and second parts; and associating the first and second constraint information sets, if there is a

presence of a predetermined type of movement between the first and second parts(see col.10, lines 10-20).

The method comprising: determining the presence of redundant data in the first and second constraint information sets; and determining the presence of a predetermined type of movement between the first and second coordinate indicator, if the first and second constraint information sets do not contain redundant information. The first and second constraint information sets define an axis and a plane. The predetermined type of movement includes a first and second constraint information each define a first and second axis, wherein the first and second axis are parallel with respect to each other. Also the predetermined type of movement includes a first and second constraint information each define a first and second plane, wherein the first and second planes are not parallel with respect to each other. Wherein associating the first and second constraint information sets includes snapping the first part with the second part (see col. 10, lines 20-24).

Robertson further discloses comparing the constraint information with a predetermined constraint information set (see col. 9, lines 51-59).

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify Kato's invention to include a step where constraint information sets dictate limitations regarding the motion of parts.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this modification because it is necessary in a virtual reality environment to establish constraints that define different axis and planes to ensure object position as suggested by Robertson.

6.

Claim 21,25,26,28-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Kato et al U.S. Patent No. 5,999,185 in view of Lection et al U.S. Patent No. 6,091,410 and

further in view of Zimmerman et al U.S. Patent No. 4,988,981.

With respect to claim 21 Kato et al and Lection et al discloses the method of base claims

19 as outlined above. With respect to claim 28-31 Kato et al and Lection et al discloses the

method of base claims 27 as outlined above.

Regarding claim 21,25-26,28-31 they do not teach a database containing sequence

information and they also do not clearly disclose a glove including sensors attached to the palm

for sensing the gripping.

However Zimmerman discloses a system comprising a database containing information

pertaining to trajectory and sequence information for each part(see Zimmerman col. 2 lines 36-

39). With respect to claim 25, Zimmerman further discloses the method comprising:

determining the intersection between the hand and the part; determining the if a user is

attempting to grip the part, if the hand and the part intersect; and simulating a virtual glove

grabbing a virtual part, if a user is attempting to grip the part (see col. 1, lines 10-16). Regarding

claim 26, Zimmerman discloses receiving a data set from the virtual hand, wherein the data set

dictates the movement of the virtual hand (see fig 6).

Zimmerman also discloses the system wherein the avatar includes a glove having a palm

and a plurality of fingers for generating electronic signals that dictate the movement of a user and

wherein the glove includes a plurality of sensors attached to the palm for sensing the gripping of

the parts between the palm and the plurality of fingers, wherein the glove includes a plurality of

Art Unit: 2125

line segments in the plurality of fingers for sensing the amount of twirl in the plurality of fingers

(see col. 3 lines 22-28).

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary

skill in the art to modify Kato et al and Lection et al invention to include a database containing

information pertaining information for each part and details regarding the interaction between the

glove sensors and the parts.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this modification

because it is well known in the area of three dimensional modeling and virtual reality that

information related to the three dimensional model, are stored in a database as suggested by

Zimmerman.

7. Claim 33 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kato et al U.S.

Patent No. 5,999,185 in view of Robertson et al. U.S. Patent No. 5,513,303 and further in view

of Lection et al U.S. Patent No. 6,091,410.

Kato et al and Robertson et al disclose the method of base claim 32 as outlined above.

Kato and Robertson do not clearly disclose modification of simulations enabling another

simulation to be performed.

However Lection discloses the method of Claim 32, further comprising, enabling the

simulation to be modified, a modification enabling another simulation to be performed. and

when the modification causes a change in the virtual part, causing the corresponding model to

automatically include the change to the virtual part (see col. 5, lines 4-8).

Page 10

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify Kato et al and Robertson et al invention to include a step where a modification to a simulation enables another simulation to be performed.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to include such a step because in order to move objects and avatars to different positions such modifications are necessary as suggested by Lection et al.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following patents are cited to further show the state of the art with respect to virtual assembly design environment(VADE):

- a. U.S. Pat. No. 5,590,268 to Doi el al, which discloses a system and method for evaluating a workspace represented by a three-dimensional model.
- b. U.S. Pat. No. 5,905,499 to McDowell et al, which discloses a method and system for high performance computer-generated virtual environments.
- c. U.S. Pat. No. 6,421,047 to de Groot, which discloses a multi-user virtual reality system for simulating a three-dimensional environment.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carlos Ortiz-Rodriguez whose telephone number is (703)305-8009. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leo P. Picard can be reached on (703)308-0538. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)308-6606.

Art Unit: 2125

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-4750.

J. P. P.

Carlos Ortiz-Rodriguez

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2125

cror

January 21, 2003

LEO PICARD
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100